

REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the courtesy extended during an interview at her office on January 8, 2008. During the interview, it was agreed that the rejection based on the Philyaw patent would be overcome if the claims were amended, as indicated above, to clarify that the “body” and “opening” were of the wireless input device rather than then power-supply module. In the Philyaw patent, batteries are inserted into an opening 3904 in the *power-supply module* rather than in the body of the input device.

Having thus overcome the sole rejection made in the final Official Action, withdrawal of the rejection and expedited passage of the application to issue is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



Date: January 24, 2008

By: BENJAMIN E. URCIA
Registration No. 33,805

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Telephone: (703) 683-0500

NWB:SAProducer/Reu/Pending I...PUBLIN 6/20334w05.wpd